## Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 03744 042102Z

66

**ACTION ACDA-19** 

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 AEC-11 CIAE-00 EUR-25 H-03 INR-10 IO-14

L-03 NSAE-00 OIC-04 OMB-01 PA-04 PM-07 PRS-01 SAJ-01

SAM-01 SP-03 SS-20 USIA-15 TRSE-00 RSC-01 NSC-07

DRC-01 (ISO)W

----- 013928

P 041920Z JUL 74

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 6621

SECDEF WASHDC

INFO USDEL MBFR PRIORITY

RUESGU /AMEMBASSY ANKARA 2421

AMEMBASSY ATHENS

AMEMBASSY

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN

AMEMBASSY OSLO

RUSNAAAXUSCINCEUR

USNMR SHAPE

SECRETUSNATO 3744

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PARM, NATO

SUBJ: MBFR: SPC CONSIDERATION OF FLANK SECURIRTY

REF: A) USNATO 2812; B) USNATO 2798; C) STATE 109161; D) USNATO 2906; E) MBFR VIENNA 115; F) STATE 56461; G) USNATO 3099; H) MBFR VIENNA 75; I) USNATO 3479

1. FLANK SECURITY ISSUE WILL RETURN TO SPC AGENDA FRIDAY, JULY
5. BELGIAN REP INFORMS US HE WILL THEN INTRODUCE BELGIAN
COMPROMISE FORMULATION (REF A, PARA 8, WHICH MODIFIES GREEK
TEXT REF B, PARA 1). BELGIAN REPS SAYS HE HAS DELAYED INTRODUCTION ON OF THIS FORMULATION INTO SPC ON GROUNDS THAT A MONTH
AGO IT MIGHT HAVE APPEARED AS SIMPLY ANOTHER FORMULATION,
RATHER THAN AS A COMPROMISE ATTEMPT AT MOVING FORWARD SPC WORK
SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 03744 042102Z

ON FLANK SECURITY. HE HAS RECENTLY TRIED IT ON GREEK AND TURKISH REPS, WHO APPEARED INTERESTED. WASHINGTON INDICATED INTEREST IN THIS FORMULATION (REF C), ALTHOUGH PERHAPS WITHOUT BEING AWARE THAT BY DEPLOYMENT "INDIRECTLY" BELGIANS MEAN DEPLOYMENT OF OTHER

THAN WITHDRAWN TROOPS (REF D).

- 2. MISSION EXPECTS THAT WITH SPC WORK ON PHASE LINKAGE COMPLETED, FLANK COUNTRIES WILL WANT FURTHER SPC WORK ON FLANK ISSUE DURING REMAINDER OF JULY. IS HAS HAD INDICATIONS OF THIS FROM FLANK COUNTRIES. AHG REQUEST AT JUNE 28 NAC BRIEFING FOR GUIDANCE ON FLANK ISSUE (REF E) SUPPORTS THIS INTEREST ON PART OF FLANK COUNTRIES.
- 3. MISSION HOPES THAT, AT SPC DISCUSSION OF FLANK ISSUE IN COMING MEETINGS, US. CAN BE MORE FORTHCOMING THAN IN OUR APPROACH OF THREE MONTHS AGO (REF F). WE HAVE NOTED THAT THIS APPROACH EVOKED LITTLE SUPPORT, AND OUR CONCERN THAT CONTINUED U.S. ADHERENCE TO IT MAY LEAD FLANK COUNTRIES TO DOUBT OUR INTEREST IN MEETING THEIR VITAL SECURITY CONCERNS. WE HAVE RECOMMENDED WASHINGTON CONSIDERATION OF BELGIAN FORMULATION, AND HAVE COMMENTED THAT EVEN IF SOVIET DEMANDS IN RESPONSE TO SUCH A PROPOSAL WERE EXCESSIVE, REQUIRING ALLIES TO WITHDRAW IT, U.S. WOULD STILL BE BETTER OFF IN TERMS OF ALLIED COHESION IN MBFR AND ELSEWHERE (PARAS 4 AND 5, REF G). VIENNA AGREED WITH MISSION'S RECOMMENDATION AND COMMENT (REF H).
- 4. MISSION LIKES VIENNA'S REFORMULATION (PARA 2, REF H) OF BELGIAN FORUMATION, WHICH MODIFIES IMPLLCATION OF WORD "INDIRECTLY." HOWEVER, AS WE HAVE NOTED, ANY U.S. PROPOSAL SHOULD BE DIRECTED AT TREATY PROVISION, RATHER THAN COMMENT AT PLENARY SESSION, TO AVOID GIVING GREEKS AND TURKS IMPRESSION WE ARE ABANDONING IDEA OF TREATY PROVISION AND THEREBY ENCOURAGING THEIR RETURN TO PARA 30 APPROACH (REF I).

5.WE THEREFORE RECOMMEND THAT MISSION
BE AUTHORIZED TO INTRODUCE VIENNA'S REFORMULATION NEXT WEEK,
AS A RESPONSE TO BELGIAN FORMULATION INTRODUCED JULY 5. WE
WOULD DESCRIBE THE REFORMULATION AS GUIDANCE TO VIENNA. WE WOULD
SAY AHG COULD USE ELEMENTS OF THIS GUIDANCE WITH THE OTHER SIDE
IN THE BEST WAY IT SAW FIT. WE WOULD STATE THAT U.S. WANTS A
TREATY PROVISION ON FLANK SECURITY BUT BELIEVES IT IS TOO EARLY
TO AGREE ON TREATY-TYPE LANGUAGE: NEVERTHELESS U.S. BELIEVES
SECRET

PAGE 03 NATO 03744 042102Z

ELEMENTS OF THIS FORMULATION COULD SERVE AS BASIS FOR EVENTUAL TREATY LANGUAGE.RUMSFELD

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 11 JUN 1999 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: n/a Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 04 JUL 1974 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974ATO03744

Document Number: 1974ATO03744 Document Source: ADS Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: 11652 GDS

Errors: n/a Film Number: n/a From: NATO

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path: ISecure: 1

Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19740788/abbryvzn.tel Line Count: 99

Locator: TEXT ON-LINE

Office: n/a **Original Classification: SECRET** Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 2

Previous Channel Indicators: Previous Classification: SECRET

Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: A) USNATO 2812; B) USNATO 2798; C) STATE 109161; D) USNATO 2906; E) MBFR 3099; H) MBFR VIENNA 75; I) USNATO 3479
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED

Review Authority: golinofr Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 20 MAR 2002 Review Event: Review Exemptions: n/a

Review History: RELEASED <20 MAR 2002 by izenbei0>; APPROVED <09 MAY 2002 by golinofr>

**Review Markings:** 

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: MBFR: SPC CONSIDERATION OF FLANK SECURIRTY

TAGS: PARM, NATO To: STATE

SECDEF INFO MBFR RUESGU ANKARA **ATHENS** AMEMBASSY **COPENHAGEN** 

VIENNA 115; F) STATE 56461; G) USNATO

OSLO
RUSNAAAXUSCINCEUR
USNMR SHAPE
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005